

REMARKS

Applicant thanks the Examiner for conducting a brief telephone discussion with Applicant's representative on June 13, 2005 with respect to the above-captioned Application. During the interview, the Examiner indicated that, although the June 6, 2004 *Advisory Action* did not indicate a status of pending claims 1-4, these claims would remain rejected in view of 35 U.S.C. §§ 102 and/or 103 if an appeal were filed in the above-captioned Application. Further, the Examiner indicated that the 35 U.S.C. § 112 rejection of claim 3 in the February 16, 2005 *Office Action* was withdrawn.

Status of the Application

Claims 1-10 are pending in this Application, as claims 7-10 are hereby added. Claims 1-4 stand rejected.

Indefiniteness Rejection

Applicant understands the 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, rejection of claim 3 in the February 16, 2005 *Office Action* to be withdrawn in view of Applicant's May 16, 2005 *Amendment*, as confirmed by the Examiner in the telephone conference discussed above.

Claim Rejections

The Examiner has rejected: (1) claims 1, 2 and 4 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by *Kataja* (US 2002/0057029 A1; hereinafter “*Kataja*”); and (2) claim 3 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Kataja* in view of *Chieng-heng* (US 5,165,056; hereinafter “*Chieng-heng*”).

Applicant traverses these rejections for the same reasons discussed in the May 16, 2005 *Amendment*, and respectfully request that the Examiner withdraw these rejections.

Amendment Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.114
US Appln No. 10/615,991

Attorney Docket No. Q75619

New Claims

Claims 7-10 are hereby added. Independent claim 7 is fully supported by Figure 3 of the above-captioned Application, and is respectfully submitted to be allowable by virtue of the features recited therein. Dependent claims 8-10 are similar to dependent claims 2-4, but are dependent on new independent claim 7, and are respectfully submitted to be allowable by virtue of their dependency, and separately patentable over the applied reference by virtue of the features recited therein.

Conclusion

In view of the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that claims 1-10 are allowable. Thus, it is respectfully submitted that the application now is in condition for allowance with all of the claims 1-10.

If any points remain in issue which the Examiner feels may be best resolved through a personal or telephone interview, the Examiner is kindly requested to contact the undersigned at the telephone number listed below.

Please charge any fees which may be required to maintain the pendency of this application, except for the Issue Fee, to our Deposit Account No. 19-4880.

Respectfully submitted,



Timothy P. Cremen
Registration No. 50,855

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC
Telephone: (202) 293-7060
Facsimile: (202) 293-7860

WASHINGTON OFFICE
23373
CUSTOMER NUMBER

Date: June 16, 2005